CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

 Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit manager.

•	Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted di where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to	irectly t	to the CalFresh Policy an	alyst assigned responsibility to the count	
1.	RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO:	5.	DATE OF REQUEST:	NEED RESPONSE BY:	
	Policy/Regulation Interpretation		1/7/14	1/13/14	
	QC	6.	COUNTY/ORGANIZATION:		
	Fair Hearing		Santa Barbara Coun	ıty	
	Other:	7.			
*********				es in the CalFresh Program	
2.	REQUESTOR NAME: Marian Acosta	8.	REFERENCES: (Include AC NOTE: All requests must h	CL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s),	
3.	PHONE NO.: 805-287-3858		ACIN I-60-13		
4.	REGULATION CITE(S): ACIN I-60-13				
9.	QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):		HEVEA		
	A telephonic signature is a type of electronic signature that in place of an actual written signature, and is considered I telephonic signature, as part of the application or redetermailing documents in order to gather a client's ink signatur signature, an electronic signature satisfies the law. Furthe electronic sound, symbol, or process attached to or logical electronic signature. Question: Is the telephonic signature sufficient to initiate to	egally ninatio re. Se r, an e lly ass	enforceable under cer on/recertification proces ection 1633.7(c) of the telectronic signature is consisted with an	tain conditions. The use of a ss, will eliminate the process of UETA specifies that if a law requires a defined at section 1633.2(h) as an	
10.	REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	The use of a telephonic signature is sufficient to initiate the long as the county has distinguished that a telephonic signile, for example a check box indicator.	ne Cal	Fresh application and/o	or the recertification application as / marking this on the electronic case	
11.	STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):				
	The state concurs with the proposed response.				
	FOR C	DSS	USE		
	E RECEIVED:	1	RESPONDED TO COUNTY/AL	J:	
January 7, 2014		J	January 8, 2014 JN		

. RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: Policy/Regulation Interpretation	5. DATI	E OF REQUEST:	NEED RESPONSE BY:
QC Fair Hearing	6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: 7. SUBJECT:		
Other:			
REQUESTOR NAME:		. REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).	
. PHONE NO.:			
REGULATION CITE(S):			

CF 24 (7/12)